

# EXHIBIT F

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN  
SOUTHERN DIVISION

**CERTIFIED COPY**

JOEL GOLDMAN,

Plaintiff,

vs.

HEALTHCARE MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS, INC.)

and THOMAS E. GIVENS,

Defendants.

CASE NO.

1:05 CV 0035

THE DEPOSITION OF

MARK MCCAGHREN

Taken on Behalf of the Plaintiff

April 21, 2006

ATKINSON-BAKER, INC.  
COURT REPORTERS  
(800) 288-3376  
www.depo.com

Reported by: Edward F. Kidd, Registered Professional  
Reporter and Notary Public

FILE NO.: A002EAA

1 sending it to a third party other than this lawsuit?

2 A. No, sir, not that I can remember.

3 Q. How long did it take you to remove the  
4 commented code in the medical records library?

5 A. Two, maybe three days.

6 Q. Do you recall seeing the name Joel Goldman in  
7 any of the comments that you removed?

8 A. No, sir.

9 Q. Do you recall seeing any copyright notice,  
10 other than the copyright notice of HMS?

11 A. No, sir.

12 Q. If a code that you removed was -- was it in  
13 upper case or lower case; do you recall?

14 A. Could be both. Let me say this: The code that  
15 I deleted would have been legitimate RPG code. If  
16 there was a comment telling how a certain part of this  
17 code worked, I left it. I only removed legitimate RPG  
18 commented-out code.

19 Q. So say there were 20 lines of code, are you  
20 saying you actually removed lines of code that some  
21 instruction rendered, like, ignore the following 20  
22 lines, however that is done in an RPG, like skipped  
23 line, an extra line, are you saying you removed that  
24 code that was no longer used?

25 A. If it was commented out.

1 code, implementing that comment with that code, having  
2 an asterisk in the seventh position?

3 A. That particular comment?

4 Q. No, sir. Any comment that related to code that  
5 was -- had an asterisk in the seventh position. Do you  
6 understand the question?

7 A. Basically -- I understand you're asking if  
8 there was a legitimate comment that said this is what  
9 the following does.

10 Q. (Nods head).

11 A. And the following was commented out.

12 Q. (Nods head).

13 A. I commented out the following, I left the  
14 comment.

15 Q. Okay.

16 A. I may have missed one, I'm not perfect, but  
17 when I started that basically I said I got to keep the  
18 comments in case they had nothing to do with what I was  
19 about to delete out.

20 Q. And my question is, do you recall instances  
21 where you kept what you've termed a legitimate comment,  
22 and then deleted commented-out source code?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. Did that happen frequently?

25 A. In the abstracting programs?

1 Q. In the HIM medical library programs.

2 A. I'm going to need to know what frequently  
3 means.

4 Q. Okay. I'd rather you ask that question.

5 Did it happen more than once in -- did it  
6 happen more than 10 times in the entire library of  
7 medical records program?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. Did it happen more than a hundred times in the  
10 entire library?

11 A. Oh, yes.

12 Q. Did it happen -- for the exhibit in front of  
13 you, MRE480, which is a relatively long program, did it  
14 happen more than 50 times in this exhibit?

15 A. I don't know about this one. In general, the  
16 more comments you have at the top of this program being  
17 changed, the chances are the more lines of  
18 commented-out code you would have had inside the  
19 program.

20 Q. This program appears to have more than usual, a  
21 longer than usual revision log, to me, based on the  
22 programs that I've reviewed. I'm not testifying here,  
23 but that's my impression. And I'm not holding you to  
24 this, but I just need an idea of how often this would  
25 have happened. And my question was, do you think in

1 regular basis, purging commented-out code?

2 A. About four years ago we began purging out, in  
3 all applications, commented-out code that was older  
4 than two years. But as in the last few years, we have  
5 been directed to purge out all of it, if possible, to  
6 make the programs look and read clear.

7 Q. Did it appear that the programs in the medical  
8 records library had been purged previously?

9 A. No.

10 Q. Was it your understanding that PIF 55642, the  
11 work order that you received, was something that was  
12 done in the ordinary course of business, or was it more  
13 of a special project?

14 A. More along the ordinary course of business.

15 Q. A few more questions, then we'll get you out of  
16 here.

17 You have testified that you work mainly in the  
18 patient accounting suite of the programs at HMS, which  
19 includes the medical records, otherwise called HIM,  
20 bundle of applications. All of the applications at HMS  
21 require maintenance and updates; correct?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. Is it true that newer programs require more  
24 maintenance as a general rule than older programs?

25 A. No.